

	А		Water Audit So ting Workshee			WAS American Water Work: Copyright © 2014, All Rigl	
Click to access definition Click to add a comment	Water Audit Report for: Reporting Year:	Town Water Ut 2016	ility (ssssxxx) 7/2015 - 6/2016				
	below. Where available, metered values sho ent (n/a or 1-10) using the drop-down list to All volum	the left of the input	cell. Hover the mouse of			ce in the accuracy of the	
To selec	t the correct data grading for each input						-
	the utility meets or exceeds <u>all</u> criteria f	•	•	in column 'E' and 'J'		Supply Error Adjustmen	its
WATER SUPPLIED	Volume from own sources:				1 0112.	Value:	MG/Yr
	Water imported: Water exported:	+ ? 4	104.570	MG/Yr + ? MG/Yr + ?		0	MG/Yr MG/Yr
	Water exported.		104.010			r value for under-regist	
	WATER SUPPLIED:		373.049	MG/Yr	Enter positive % or	value for over-registrat	tion
AUTHORIZED CONSUMPTION	Billed metered:	+ ? 6	202 650	MONA		Click here: ?	
	Billed unmetered:		203.650	MG/Yr MG/Yr		for help using option buttons below	
	Unbilled metered: Unbilled unmetered:			MG/Yr	Pcnt:	Value:	
	Unbilled Unmetered volume ente			MG/Yr default value	↓	12.300	MG/Yr
	AUTHORIZED CONSUMPTION:	?	280.950		L	Use buttons to select percentage of water supplied OR	
WATER LOSSES (Water Supp	lied - Authorized Consumption)		92.099	MG/Yr	_	value	
Apparent Losses	. ,				Pcnt:	★ Value:	_
	Unauthorized consumption:		0.933		0.25%	\mathbf{O}	MG/Yr
Default	option selected for unauthorized con Customer metering inaccuracies:		ading of 5 is applied 14.139		5.00%	()	MG/Yr
	Systematic data handling errors:		0.509		0.25%	(MG/Yr
Defa	ult option selected for Systematic dat				d		
	Apparent Losses:	?	15.581	MG/Yr			
Real Losses (Current Annual	Real Losses or CARL)						
Real Losse	s = Water Losses - Apparent Losses:	?	76.518	MG/Yr			
	WATER LOSSES:		92.099	MG/Yr			_
NON-REVENUE WATER	NON-REVENUE WATER:	?	169.399	MG/Yr			
SYSTEM DATA							-
Number of <u>a</u>	Length of mains: <u>ctive AND inactive</u> service connections: Service connection density:	+ ? 3 + ? 5	4,429	miles conn./mile main			
	located at the curbstop or property line? Average length of customer service line:	+ ?	Yes		ne, <u>beyond</u> the property e responsibility of the uti	lity)	
	th of customer service line has been s	set to zero and a		e of 10 has been applied		.,	
	Average operating pressure:	+ ? 1	60.0	psi			
COST DATA							
	annual cost of operating water system:		\$1,872,000	\$/Year			
	unit cost (applied to Apparent Losses): roduction cost (applied to Real Losses):			\$/1000 gallons (US)		<u> </u>	
variable p	oduction cost (applied to Real Losses).	+ ? 7	\$596.00	\$/Million gallons Use Cu	ustomer Retail Unit Cost to	value real losses	
WATER AUDIT DATA VALIDITY	SCORE:						_
	*	** YOUR SCORE	E IS: 56 out of 100 ***	*]
A w	reighted scale for the components of consur	nption and water lo	oss is included in the cal	Iculation of the Water Audit D	ata Validity Score		1
PRIORITY AREAS FOR ATTENT	•						
	, audit accuracy can be improved by addres:	sing the following o	components:				
1: Volume from own sources		J T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T					
2: Customer metering inaccur	acies						
3: Billed metered							

	AWWA Free Water Audit Software: WAS v5.0
	System Attributes and Performance Indicators American Water Works Association Copyright © 2014, All Rights Reserved
	Water Audit Report for: Town Water Utility (ssssxxx) Reporting Year: 2016 7/2015 - 6/2016
	*** YOUR WATER AUDIT DATA VALIDITY SCORE IS: 56 out of 100 ***
System Attributes:	Apparent Losses:15.581MG/Yr+Real Losses:76.518MG/Yr=Water Losses:92.099MG/Yr
	24.86 MG/Yr
	Annual cost of Apparent Losses: \$52,820
	Annual cost of Real Losses: \$45,758 Valued at Variable Production Cost
Performance Indicators:	Return to Reporting Worksheet to change this assumption
	Non-revenue water as percent by volume of Water Supplied: 45.4%
Financial:	Non-revenue water as percent by cost of operating system: 7.7% Real Losses valued at Variable Production Cost
Г	Apparent Losses per service connection per day: 9.64 gallons/connection/day
Operational Efficiency:	Real Losses per service connection per day: 47.33 gallons/connection/day
	Real Losses per length of main per day*: N/A
Ĺ	Real Losses per service connection per day per psi pressure: 0.79 gallons/connection/day/psi
	From Above, Real Losses = Current Annual Real Losses (CARL): 76.52 million gallons/year
	Infrastructure Leakage Index (ILI) [CARL/UARL]: <u>3.08</u>
* This performance indicator applies for	or systems with a low service connection density of less than 32 service connections/mile of pipeline

		AW	/WA Free Wa	ter Audit Software: <u>Wate</u>		WAS v5.0
		Wa	iter Audit Report for:	Town Water Utility (ssssxxx)	Amenc	an Water Works Association.
			Reporting Year:	2016	7/2015 - 6/2016	
			Data Validity Score:	56		
		Water Exported 103.535			Billed Water Exported	Revenue Water 103.535
				Billed Authorized Consumption	Billed Metered Consumption (water exported is removed)	Revenue Water
Own Sources Adjusted for known			Authorized Consumption	203.650	203.650 Billed Unmetered Consumption 0.000	203.650
errors)			280.950	Unbilled Authorized Consumption	Unbilled Metered Consumption 65.000	Non-Revenue Wate (NRW)
476.584				77.300	Unbilled Unmetered Consumption 12.300	
	System Input 476.584	Water Supplied		Apparent Losses	Unauthorized Consumption 0.933	169.399
	470.304	373.049		15.581	Customer Metering Inaccuracies 14.139	
			Water Losses		Systematic Data Handling Errors 0.509	
Water Imported			92.099		Leakage on Transmission and/or Distribution Mains	
0.000				Real Losses 76.518	Not broken down Leakage and Overflows at Utility's Storage Tanks Not broken down	
					Leakage on Service Connections Not broken down	





AWWA Free Water Audit Software: Grading Matrix

WAS 5.0	

	TL -	anding perigned to another	dit component and the					lu to ho impress			right © 2014, All Rights Reserved.
Crading	n/a			Ŧ	-	5	d in yellow. Audit accuracy is like	iy to be improve 7	8		10
Grading >>>	T/a	1	2	3	4	o WATER SUPPLI		/	8	9	10
Volume from own sources:	Select this grading only if the water utility purchases/imports all of its water resources (i.e. has no sources of its own)		25% - 50% of treated water production sources are metered; other sources estimated. No regular meter accuracy testing or electronic calibration conducted.	Conditions between 2 and 4	50% - 75% of treated water production sources are metered, other sources estimated. Occasional meter accuracy testing or electronic calibration conducted.	Conditions between 4 and 6	At least 75% of treated water production sources are metered, or at least 90% of the source flow is derived from metered sources. Meter accuracy testing and/or electronic calibration of related instrumentation is conducted annually. Less than 25% of tested meters are found outside of +/- 6% accuracy.	Conditions between 6 and 8	100% of treated water production sources are metered, meter accuracy testing and electronic calibration of related instrumentation is conducted annually, less than 10% of meters are found outside of +/- 6% accuracy	Conditions between 8 and 10	100% of treated water production sources are metered, meter accurac testing and electronic calibration of related instrumentation is conducted semi-annually, with less than 10% found outside of +/- 3% accuracy. Procedures are reviewed by a third party knowledgeable in the M36 methodology.
Improvements to attain higher data grading for "Volume from own Sources" component:		to qualify for 2: Organize and launch efforts to collect data for determining volume from own sources	to quality for 4: Locate all water production sources field, launch meter accuracy testing I begin to install meters on unmeterer sources and replace any obsolete/	for existing meters, d water production	to qualify for 6 Formalize annual meter accuracy meters; specify the frequency of installation of meters on unmeter sources and complete replacement of meters.	testing for all source testing. Complete ed water production	to qualify for 8; Conduct annul meter accuracy testin related instrumentation on all meter regular basis. Complete project to in defective existing, meters as that enti- population is metered. Repair or repla +/- 6% accuracy.	installations on a stall new, or replace re production meter	to qualify for 10 Maintain annual meter accuracy tes related instrumentation for all meter replace meters outside of +/- 3% acc meter technology, pilot one or mor innovative meters in attempt to fu accuracy.	ting and calibration of nstallations. Repair or uracy. Investigate new e replacements with	to maintain 10: Standardize meter accuracy test frequency to semi-annual, or more frequent, for all meters. Repair or replace meters outside of +/- 3% accuracy. Continually investigate/pik improving metering technology.
Volume from own sources master meter and supply error adjustment:	Select n/a only if the water utility fails to have meters on its sources of supply	Inventory information on meters and paper records of measured volumes exist but are incomplete and/or in a very crude condition; data error cannot be determined	No automatic datalogging of production volumes; daily readings are scribed on paper records without any accountability controls. Flows are not balanced across the water distribution system: tank/storage elevation changes are not employed in calculating the "Volume from own sources" component and archived flow data is adjusted only when grossly evident data error occurs.	Conditions between 2 and 4	Production meter data is logged automatically in electronic format and reviewed at least on a monthy basis with necessary corrections implemented. "Volutations include estimate of daily changes in tank/storage facilities. Meter data is adjusted when gross data errors occur, or occasional meter testing deems this necessary.	Conditions between 4 and 6	Hourly production meter data logged automatically & reviewed on at least a weekly basis. Data is adjusted to correct gross error when meter/instrumentation equipment is confirmed by meter accuracy testing. Tank/storage facility elevation changes are automatically used in calculating a balanced "Volume from own sources" component, and data gaps in the archived data are corrected on at least a weekly basis.	Conditions between 6 and 8	Continuous production meter data is logged automatically & reviewed each business day. Data is adjusted to correct gross error from detected meter/instrumentation equipment malfunction and/or results of meter accuracy testing. Tank/storage facility elevation changes are automatically used in "Volume form own sources" tabulations and data gaps in the archived data are corrected on a daily basis.	Conditions between 8 and 10	Computerized system (SCADA or similar) automatically balances flows from all sources and storages; result are reviewed each business day. Tig accountability controls ensure that a data gaps that occur in the archived flow data are quickly detected and corrected. Regular calibrations between SCADA and sources meter ensures minimal data transfer error.
Improvements to attain higher data grading for "Master meter and supply error adjustment" component:		to qualify for 2: Develop a plan to restructure recordkeeping system to capture all flow data; set a procedure to review flow data on a dally basis to detect input errors. Obtain more reliable information about existing meters by conducting field inspections of meters and related instrumentation, and obtaining manufacturer literature.	to qualify for 4: Install automatic datalogging equipm meters. Complete installation of leve all tanks/storage facilities and includ automatic calculation routine in a cor Construct a computerized listing o archive input volumes, tank/storage v import/export flows in order to deter "Water Supplied" volume for the dist a procedure to review this data on a detect gross anomalies and	I instrumentation at e tank level data in mputerized system, r spreadsheet to olume changes and nine the composite ibution system. Set a monthly basis to	to qualify for 6 Refine computerized data collection hourly production meter data that is weekly basis to detect specific data Use daily net storage change to bala Water Suppied" volume. Necess errors are implemented on a	and archive to include reviewed at least on a anomalies and gaps. nce flows in calculating ary corrections to data	to qualify for 8: Ensure that all flow data is collected least an hourly basis. All data is revie errors corrected each business day. variations are employed in calculatin Supplied" component. Adjust produ gross error and inaccuracy confir	ewed and detected Tank/storage levels g balanced "Water ction meter data for	to qualify for 10 Link all production and tank/storage f data to a Supervisory Control & Data System, or similar computerized mor and establish automatic flow bala regularly calibrate between SCADA an is reviewed and corrected eac	acility elevation change Acquisition (SCADA) hitoring/control system, noing algorithm and ad source meters. Data	to maintain 10: Monitor meter innovations for development of more accurate and le expensive flowmeters. Continue to replace or repair meters as they perform outside of desired accuracy limits. Stay abreast of new and mon accurate water level instruments to better record tank/storage levels and archive the variations in storage volume. Keep current with SCADA and data management systems to ensure that archived data is well- managed and error free.
Water Imported:	Select n/a if the water utility's supply is exclusively from its own water resources (no bulk purchased/ imported water)	Less than 25% of imported water sources are metered, remaining sources are estimated. No regular meter accuracy testing.	25% - 50% of imported water sources are metered; other sources estimated. No regular meter accuracy testing.	Conditions between 2 and 4	50% - 75% of imported water sources are metered, other sources estimated. Occasional meter accuracy testing conducted.	Conditions between 4 and 6	At least 75% of imported water sources are metered, meter accuracy testing and/or electronic calibration of related instrumentation is conducted annually for all meter installations. Less than 25% of tested meters are found outside of +/- 6% accuracy.	Conditions between 6 and 8	100% of imported water sources are metered, meter accuracy testing and electronic alibration of related instrumentation is conducted annually, less than 10% of meters are found outside of +/- 6% accuracy	Conditions between 8 and 10	100% of imported water sources are metered, meter accuracy testing and electronic calibration of related instrumentation is conducted semi- annually for all meter installations, wi less than 10% of accuracy tests foun outside of +/- 3% accuracy.

Grading >>>	n/a	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Improvements to attain higher data grading for "Water Imported Volume" component: (Note: usually the water - "the Exporter" - to the utility being audited is responsible to maintain the metering installation measuring the imported volume. The utility should coordinate carefully with the Exporter to ensure that adequate meter upkeep takes place and na cocurate measure of the Water Imported volume is quantified.)		to qualify for 2: Review bulk water purchase agreements with partner suppliers; confirm requirements for use and maintenance of accurate metering, identify needs for new or replacement meters with goal to meter all imported water sources.	<u>To qualify for 4:</u> Locate all imported water sources o field, launch meter accuracy testing I begin to install meters on unmetere interconnections and replace obsolet	for existing meters, ed imported water	to qualify for 6: Formalize annual meter accuracy te water meters, planning for both re- testing and calibration of the relat Continue installation of meters on water interconnections and r obsolete/defective m	esting for all imported gular meter accuracy ed instrumentation. unmetered imported replacement of	to qualify for 8: Complete project to install new, or repl on all imported water interconnection meter accuracy testing for all importe conduct calibration of related instru annually. Repair or replace meters accuracy.	is. Maintain annual d water meters and mentation at least	to qualify for 10 Conduct meter accuracy testing for annual basis, along with caibra instrumentation. Repair or replace m accuracy. Investigate new meter ter more replacements with innovative improve meter accu	all meters on a semi- tion of all related eters outside of +/- 3% chnology; pilot one or meters in attempt to	to maintain 10: Standardize meter accuracy test frequency to semi-annual, or more frequent, for all meters. Continue to conduct calibration of related instrumentation on a semi-annual basis. Repair or replace meters outside of 4-/ 3% accuracy. Continually investigate/plat improving metering technology.
Water imported master meter and supply error adjustment:	Select n/a if the Imported water supply is unmetered, with Imported water quantities estimated on the billing invoices sent by the Exporter to the purchasing Utility.	Inventory information on imported meters and paper records of measured volumes exist but are incomplete and/or in a very crude condition; data error cannot be determined Written agreement(s) with water Exporter(s) are missing or written in vague language concerning meter management and testing.	No automatic datalogging of imported supply volumes; daily readings are scribed on paper records without any accountability controls to confim data accuracy and the absence of errors and data gaps in recorded volumes. Written agreement requires meter accuracy testing but is vague on the details of how and who conducts the testing.	Conditions between 2 and 4	Imported supply metered flow data is logged automatically in electronic format and reviewed at least on a monthly basis by the Exporter with necessary corrections implemented. Meter data is adjusted by the Exporter when gross data errors are detected. A coherent data trai exists for this process to protect both the selling and the purchasing Utility. Written agreement exists and clearly states requirements and roles for meter accuracy testing and data management.	Conditions between 4 and 6	Hourly Imported supply metered data is logged automatically & reviewed on at least a weekly basis by the Exporter. Data is adjusted to correct gross error when meter/instrumentation equipment mafunction is detected; and to correct for error confirmed by meter accuracy testing. Any data gaps in the archived data are detected and corrected during the weekly vreiw. A coheration data trail exists for this process to protect both the selling and the purchasing Utility.	Conditions between 6 and 8	Continuous Imported supply metered flow data is logged automatically & reviewed each business day by the Exporter. Data is adjusted to correct gross error from detected meter/instrumentation equipment maffunction and/or results of meter accuracy testing. Any data errors/gaps are detected and corrected on a daily basis. A data trail exists for the process to protect both the selling and the purchasing Utility.	Conditions between 8 and 10	Computerized system (SCADA or similar) automatically records data which is reviewed each business day by the Exporter. Tight accountability controls ensure that all error/data gaps that occur in the archived flow data are quickly detected and corrected. A reliable data trail exists and contract provisions for meter testing and data management are reviewed by the selling and purchasing Utility at least once every five years.
Improvements to attain higher data grading for "Water imported master meter and supply error adjustment component:		to qualify for 2: Develop a plan to restructure recordkeeping system to capture all flow data; set a procedure to review flow data on a daily basis to detect input errors. Obtain more reliable information about existing meters by conducting field inspections of meters and related instrumentation, and obtaining manufacturer literature. Review the written agreement between the selling and purchasing Utility.	to qualify for 4: Install automatic datalogging equip supply meters. Set a procedure to re monthy basis to detect gross anoma Launch discussions with the Export terms of the written agreements regan testing and data management; rev necessary.	eview this data on a alies and data gaps. ers to jointly review ding meter accuracy	to qualify for 6 Refine computerized data collection hourly imported supply metered flow at least on a weekly basis to detect 5 and gaps. Make necessary corre errors on a weekly t	and archive to include v data that is reviewed pecific data anomalies ctions to errors/data	to qualify for 8: Ensure that all Imported supply me collected and archived on at least an h is reviewed and errors/data gaps an business day.	nourly basis. All data	to qualify for 10 Conduct accountability checks to co supply metered data is reviewed and c day by the Exporter. Results of all me data corrections should be available fo Exporter and the purchasing Utility. E a regular review and updating of the c the written agreement between the sel Utility; at least every five	nfirm that all Imported orrected each business iter accuracy tests and or sharing between the istablish a schedule for contractual language in ling and the purchasing	to maintain 10: Monitor meter innovations for development of more accurate and less expensive flowmeters; work with the Exporter to help identify meter replacement needs. Keep communication lines with Exporters open and maintain productive relations. Keep the written agreement current with clear and explicit language that meets the ongoing needs of all parties.
Water Exported:	Select n/a if the water utility sells no bulk water to neighboring water utilities (no exported water sales)	Less than 25% of exported water sources are metered, remaining sources are estimated. No regular meter accuracy testing.	25% - 50% of exported water sources are metered; other sources estimated. No regular meter accuracy testing.	Conditions between 2 and 4	50% - 75% of exported water sources are metered, other sources estimated. Occasional meter accuracy testing conducted.	Conditions between 4 and 6	At least 75% of exported water sources are metered, meter accuracy testing and/or electronic calibration conducted annualy. Less than 25% of tested meters are found outside of +/- 6% accuracy.	Conditions between 6 and 8	100% of exported water sources are metered, meter accuracy testing and electronic calibration of related instrumentation is conducted annually, less than 10% of meters are found outside of +/- 6% accuracy	Conditions between 8 and 10	100% of exported water sources are metered, meter accuracy testing and electronic calibration of related instrumentation is conducted semi- annually for all meter installations, with less than 10% of accuracy tests found outside of +/- 3% accuracy.
Improvements to attain higher data grading for "Water Exported Volume" component: (Note: usually, if the water utility being audited sells (Exports) water to a neighboring purchasing Utility, it is the responsibility of the utility exporting the water to maintain the metering installation measuring the Exported volume. The utility exporting the water should ensure that adequate meter upkeep takes place and an accurate measure of the Water Exported volume is quantified.)		to qualify for 2: Review bulk water sales agreements with purchasing utilities; confirm requirements for use & upkeep of accurate metering, Identify needs to install new, or replace defective meters as needed.	<u>To qualify for 4</u> ; Locate all exported water sources or launch meter accuracy testing for exi to install meters on unmetered e interconnections and replace obsole	isting meters, begin exported water	to quality for 6; Formaize annual meter accuracy to water meters. Continue installation o exported water interconnections : obsolete/defective m	esting for all exported f meters on unmetered and replacement of	to qualify for 8: Complete project to install new, or repl on all exported water interconnection meter accuracy testing for all expor Repair or replace meters outside of	is. Maintain annual ted water meters.	to qualify for 10 Maintain annual meter accuracy testin or replace meters outside of +/- 3% i new meter technology, pilot one or m innovative meters in attempt to impr	g for all meters. Repair accuracy. Investigate ore replacements with	to maintain 10: Standardize meter accuracy test frequency to semi-annual, or more frequent, for all meters. Repair or replace meters outside of +/- 3% accuracy. Continually investigate/pilot improving metering technology.

Grading >>>	n/a	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Water exported master meter and supply error adjustment:	Select n/a only if the water utility fails to have meters on its exported supply interconnections.	Inventory information on exported meters and paper records of measured volumes exist but are incomplete and/or in a very crude condition; data error cannot be determined Written agreement(s) with the utility purchasing the water are missing or written in vague language concerning meter management and testing.	No automatic datalogging of exported supply volumes; daily readings are scribed on paper records without any accountability controls to coofim data accuracy and the absence of errors and data gaps in recorded volumes. Written agreement requires meter accuracy testing but is vague on the details of how and who conducts the testing.	Conditions between 2 and 4	Exported metered flow data is logged automatically in electronic format and reviewed at least on a monthy basis, with necessary corrections implemented. Meter data is adjusted by the utility selling (exporting) the water when gross data errors are detected. A coherent data trail exists for this process to protect both the utility exporting the water and the purchasing Utility. Written agreement exists and clearly states requirements and roks for meter accuracy testing and data management.	Conditions between 4 and 6	Hourly exported supply metered data is logged automatically & reviewed at least a weekly basis by the utility selling the water. Data is adjusted to correct gross error when meter/instrumentation equipment maffunction is detected; and to correct for error found by meter accuracy testing. Any data gaps in the archived data are detected and corrected during the weekly review. A cohercited during the weekly review. A cohercis to protect both the selling (exporting) utility and the purchasing Utility.	Conditions between 6 and 8	Continuous exported supply metered flow data is logged automatically & reviewed each business day by the utility selling (exporting) the water. Data is adjusted to correct gross error from detected meter/instrumentation equipment malfunction and any error confirmed by meter accuracy testing. Any data errors/gaps are detected and corrected on a daily basis. A data trail exists for the process to protect both the selling (exporting) Utility and the purchasing Utility.	Conditions between 8 and 10	Computerized system (SCADA or similar) automatically records data which is reviewed each business day by the utility selling (exporting) the water. Tight accountability controls ensure that all error/data gaps that occur in the archived flow data are quickly detected and corrected. A reliable data trail exists and contract provisions for meter testing and data management are reviewed by the selling Utility and purchasing Utility at least once every five years.
Improvements to attain higher data grading for "Water exported master meter and supply error adjustment" component:		to quality for 2: Develop a plan to restructure record/keeping system to capture all flow data; set a procedure to review flow data on a daily basis to detect input errors. Obtain more reliable information about existing meters by conducting field inspections of meters and related instrumentation, and obtaining manufacturer literature. Review the written agreement between the utility selling (exporting) the water and the purchasing Utility.	to qualify for 4: Install automatic datalogging equip supply meters. Set a procedure to i monthy basis to detect gross anom Launch discussions with the purcha review terms of the written agreeme accuracy testing and data managem as necessary.	eview this data on a alies and data gaps. sing utilities to jointly nts regarding meter	to qualify for 6 Refine computerized data collection hourly exported supply metered flow least on a weekly basis to detect sy and gaps. Make necessary corr errors on a weekly	and archive to include data that is reviewed at becific data anomalies actions to errors/data	to qualify for 8: Ensure that all exported metered flow archived on at least an hourly basis. and errors/data gaps are corrected e	All data is reviewed	to qualify for 10 Conduct accountability checks to co metered flow data is reviewed and co day by the utility selling the water. accuracy tests and data corrections sharing between the utility and the Establish a schedule for a regular rev contractual language in the written purchasing utilities; at least e	onfirm that all exported prrected each business Results of all meter should be available for e purchasing Utility. iew and updating of the agreements with the	to maintain 10: Monitor meter innovations for development of more accurate and less expensive flowmeters; work with the purchasing utilities to help identify meter replacement needs. Keep communication lines with the purchasing utilities open and maintain productive relations. Keep the written agreement current with clear and explicit language that meets the ongoing needs of all parties.
					AUTHORIZED CO	ONSUMPTION					
Billed metered:	n/a (not applicable). Select n/a only if the entire customer population is not metered and is billed for water service on a flat or fixed rate basis. In such a case the volume entered must be zero.	Less than 50% of customers with volume-based billings from meter readings; flat or fixed rate billing exists for the majority of the customer population	At least 50% of customers with volume-based billing from meter reads; flat rate billing for others. Manual meter reading is conducted, with less than 50% meter read success rate, remainding accounts' consumption is estimated. Limited meter records, no regular meter testing or replacement. Billing data maintained on paper records, with no auditing.	Conditions between 2 and 4	At least 75% of customers with volume-based, billing from meter reads; flat or fixed rate billing for remaining accounts. Manual meter reading is conducted with at least 50% meter read success rate; consumption for accounts with failed reads is estimated. Purchase records verify age of customer meters; only very limited meter accuracy testing is conducted. Customer meters: are replaced only upon complete failure. Computerized billing records exist, but only sporadic internal auditing conducted.	Conditions between 4 and 6	At least 90% of customers with volume-based billing from meter reads; consumption for remaining accounts is estimated. Manual customer meter reading gives at least 80% customer meter reading success rate; consumption for accounts with failed reads is estimated. Good customer meter records exist, but only limited meter accuracy testing is conducted. Regular replacement is conducted for the oldest meters. Computerized billing records exist with annual auditing of summary statistics conducting by utility personnel.	Conditions between 6 and 8	At least 97% of customers exist with volume-based billing from meter reads. At least 90% customer meter reading success rates grat least 80% read success rates with planning and budgeting for trials of Automatic Meter Reading (AMR) or Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) in one or more pilot areas. Good customer meter records. Regular meter accuracy testing guides replacement of statistically significant number of meters each year. Routine auditing of computerized billing records for global and detailed statistics occurs. annually by utility personnel, and is verified by third party at least once every five years.	Conditions between 8 and 10	At least 99% of customers exist with volume-based billing from meter reads. At least 95% customer meter reading success rate; <u>or</u> minimum 80% meter reading success rate, with Automatic Meter Reading (AMR) or Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) trials underway. Statistically significant customer meter lessing and continuous basis. Computerized billing tint noutine, detailed auditing, including field investigation of representative sample of accounts undertaken annually by utility personnel. Audit is conducted by hird party auditors at least once every three years.
Improvements to attain higher data grading for "Billed Metered Consumption" component:	If n/a is selected because the customer meter population is unmetered, consider establishing a new policy to meter the customer population and employ water rates based upon metered volumes.	to qualify for 2: Conduct investigations or trials of customer meters to select appropriate meter models. Budget funding for meter installations. Investigate volume based water rate structures.	to qualify for 4: Purchase and install meters on un Implement policies to improve met Catalog meter information during identify age/model of existing mete number of meters for accuracy. In billing system.	er reading success. meter read visits to ers. Test a minimal	to qualify for 6: Purchase and install meters on unmetered accounts. Eliminate flat fee billing and establish appropriate water rate structure based upon measured consumption. Continue meter reading barriers. Expand meter accuracy testing. Laund meter regular meter replacement program. Launch a program of annual auditing of global billing statistics by utility personnel. Eliminate flat set by utility personnel and timp error thrift personnel and timp error thrift personnel and timp error thrift personnel and timp error time years. Eliminate flat set by utility personnel and conduct thrift personnel and timp error timp exame auditing at least once every five years.		ered accounts. Launch r Advanced Metering nanual meter reading hieved within a five-year cy testing program. for large scale meter c cycle analysis using ual detailed billing data ct third party auditing at	to maintain 10: Continue annual interna billing data auditing, and third party auditing at least every three years. Continue customer meter accuracy testing to ensure that accurate customer meter readings are obtained and entered as the basis for volume based billing. Stay abreast of improvements in Automatic Meter Reading (AMR) and Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) and information management. Plan and budget for justified upgrades in metering, meter reading and billing data management to maintain very high accuracy in customer metering and billing.			

Grading >>>	n/a	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Billed unmetered:	Select n/a if it is the policy of the water utility to meter all customer connections and it has been confirmed by detailed auditing that all customers do indeed have a water meter; i.e. no intertionally unmetered accounts exist	Water utility policy does <u>not</u> require customer metering; flat or fixed fee billing is employed. No data is collected on customer consumption. The only estimates of customer population consumption available are derived from data estimation methods using average fixture count multiplied by number of connections, or similar approach.	Water utility policy does <u>not</u> require customer metering; flat of fixed fee billing is employed. Some metered accounts exist in parts of the system (pilot areas or District Metered Areas) with consumption read periodically or recorded on portable dataloggers over one, three, or seven day periods. Data from these sample meters are used to infer consumption for the total customer population. Site specific estimation methods are used for unusual buildings/water uses.	Conditions between 2 and 4	Water utility policy does require metering and volume based billing in general. However, a liberal amount of exemptions and a lack of clearly written and communicated procedures result in up to 20% of billed accounts believed to be unmetered by exemption; or the water utility is in transition to becoming fully metered, and a large number of customers remain unmetered. A rough estimate of the annual consumption for all unmetered accounts is included in the annual water audit, with no inspection of individual unmetered accounts.		Water utility policy <u>does</u> require metering and volume based billing but established exemptions exist for a portion of accounts such as municipal buildings. As many as 15% of billed accounts are unnetered due to this exemption or meter installation difficulties. Only a group estimate of annual consumption for all unmetered accounts is included in the annual water audit, with no inspection of individual unmetered accounts.	Conditions between 6 and 8	Water utility policy <u>does</u> require metering and volume based billing for all custome accounts. However, less than 5% of billed accounts remain unmetered because meter installation is hindered by unusual circumstances. The goal is to minimize the number of unmetered accounts. Reliable estimates of consumption are obtained for these unmetered accounts via site specific estimation methods.	Conditions between 8 and 10	Water utility policy <u>does</u> require metering and volume based billing for all customer accounts. Less than 2% of billed accounts are unmetered and exist because meter installation is hindered by unusual circumstances. The goal exists to minimize the number of unmetered accounts to the extent that is economical. Reliable estimates accounts via site specific estimation methods.
Improvements to attain higher data grading for "Billed Unmetered Consumption" component:		to qualify for 2: Conduct research and evaluate cost/benefit of a new water utility policy to require metering of the custome population; thereby greatly reducing or eliminating unmetered accounts. Conduct plot metering project by installing water meters in small sample of customer accounts and periodically reading the meters or datalogging the water consumption over one, three, or seven day periods.	to qualify for 4: Implement a new water utility policy metering. Launch or expand pilot include several different meter types data for economic assessment of options. Assess sites with access means to obtain water consumptio customer meter instal	metering study to s, which will provide full scale metering difficulties to devise on volumes. Begin	to qualify for 6 Refine policy and procedures to impr participation for all but solidly exem staff resources to review billing rec unmetered properties. Specify meter requirements to install sufficient meter the number of unmetere	ove customer metering apt accounts. Assign ords to identify errant ring needs and funding ers to significant reduce	to quality for 8: Push to install customer meters on Refine metering policy and procedur accounts, including municipal properti meters. Plan special efforts to addre accounts. Implement procedures to consumption estimate for the remain accounts awaiting meter in	es to ensure that all s, are designated for ss "hard-to-access" o obtain a reliable ing few unmetered	to qualify for 10 Continue customer meter installation area, with a goal to minimize unmeter the effort to investigate accounts with devise means to install water meters water consumptiv	throughout the service red accounts. Sustain access difficulties, and or otherwise measure	to maintain 10: Continue to refine estimation methods for unmetered consumption and explore means to establish metering, for as many billed remaining unmetered accounts as is economically feasible.
Unbilled metered:	select n/a if all biling- exempt consumption is unmetered.	Billing practices exempt certain accounts, such as municipal buildings, but written policies do not unski and a reliable count of unavailable. Meter upkeep and meter reading on these accounts is rare and not considered a priority. Due to poor recordkeeping and lack of auditing, water consumption for all such accounts is purely guesstimated.	Billing practices exempt certain accounts, such as municipal buildings, but only scattered, dated written directives exist to justify this practice. A reliable count of unbilled metered accounts is unavailable. Sporadic meter replacement and meter reading occurs on an as- needed basis. The total annual water consumption for all unbilled, metered accounts is estimated based upon approximating the number of accounts and assigning consumption from actively billed accounts of same meter size.	Conditions between 2 and 4	Dated written procedures permit billing exemption for specific accounts, such as municipal properties, but are unclear regarding certain other types of accounts. Meter readings is given low priority and is sporadic. Consumption is quantified from meter readings where available. The total number of unbilled, unmetered accounts must be estimated along with consumption volumes.	1	Written policies regarding billing exemptions exist but adherence in practice is questionable. Metering and meter reading for municipal buildings is reliable but sporadic for other unbilled metered accounts is conducted. Water consumption is quantified directly from meter readings where available, but the majority of the consumption is estimated.	Conditions between 6 and 8	Written policy identifies the types of accounts granted a biling exemption. Customer meter management and meter reading are considered secondary priorities, but meter reading is conducted at least annually to obtain consumption volumes for the annual water audit. High level auditing of biling records ensures that a reliable census of such accounts exists.	Conditions between 8 and 10	Clearly written policy identifies the types of accounts given a billing exemption, with emphasis on keeping such accounts to a minimum. Customer meter management and meter reading for these accounts is given proper priority and is reliably conducted. Regular auditing confirms this. Total water consumption for these accounts is taken from reliable readings from accurate meters.
Improvements to attain higher data grading for "Unbiled Metered Consumption" component:		to qualify for 2: Reasses the water utility's policy allowing certain accounts to be granted a billing exemption. Draft an outline of a new written policy for billing exemptions, with clear justification as to why any accounts should be exempt from billing, and with the intention to keep the number of such accounts to a minimum.	to qualify for 4: Review historic written directives an allowing certain accounts to be billing outline of a written policy for billing 4 criteria that grants an exemption, wil this number of accounts to a mini- increasing the priority of reading n accounts at least ann	g-exempt. Draft an exemptions, identify th a goal of keeping imum. Consider neters on unbilled	to qualify for 6 Draft a new written policy regardin based upon consensus criteria allo Assign resources to audit meter rect to obtain census of unbilled meteret include a greater number of these m routes for regular meter	g billing exemptions wing this occurrence. ords and billing records d accounts. Gradually etered accounts to the	to qualify for 8: Communicate billing exemption poli organization and implement procedure account management. Conduct insp confirmed in unbilled metered stata accurate meters exist and are schedu readings. Gradually increase the n metered accounts that are includes reading routes.	is that ensure proper ections of accounts us and verify that led for routine meter umber of unbilled	to qualify for 10 Ensure that meter management (m meter replacement) and meter readir accounts are accorded the same priv Estabilsh ongoing annual auditing p water consumption is reliably collect annual water audit pr	eter accuracy testing, ng activities for unbilled prity as billed accounts. process to ensure that ed and provided to the	to maintain 10: Reassess the utility's philosophy in allowing any water uses to go "unbilled". It is possible to meter and bill all accounts, even if the fee charged for water consumption is discounted or waived. Metering and billing all accounts ensures that water consumption is tracked and water waste from plumbing leaks is detected and minimized.
Unbilled unmetered:		Extent of unbilled, unmetered consumption is unknown due to unclear policies and poor recordkeeping. Total consumption is quantified based upon a purely subjective estimate.	Clear extent of unbilled, unmetered consumption is unknown, but a number of events are randomly documented each year, confirming existence of such consumption, but without sufficient documentation to quantify an accurate estimate of the annual volume consumed.	Conditions between 2 and 4	Extent of unbilled, unmetered consumption is partially known, and procedures exist to document miscelaneous fire hydrant uses. Formulae is used to quantify the consumption from such events (time running multiplied by typical flowrate, multiplied by number of events).	Default value of 1.25% of system input volume is employed	Coherent policies exist for some forms of unbilled, unmetered consumption but others await closer evaluation. Reasonable recordkeeping for the managed uses exists and allows for annual volumes to be quantified by inference, but unsupervised uses are guesstimated.	Conditions between 6 and 8	Clear policies and good recordkeeping exist for some uses (ex: water used in periodic testing of unmetered fire connections), but other uses (ex: miscellaneous uses of fire hydrants) have limited oversight. Total consumption is a mix of well quantified use such as from formulae (time running multipiled by typical flow, multipiled by number of events) or temporary meters, and relatively subjective estimates of less regulated use.	Conditions between 8 and 10	Clear policies exist to identify permitted use of water in unbilled, unmetered fashion, with the intention of minimizing this type of consumption. Good records document each occurrence and consumption is quantified via formulae (time running multiplied by typical flow, multiplied by number of events) or use of temporary meters.

Grading >>>	n/a	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Improvements to attain higher data grading for "Unbilled Unmetered Consumption" component:		to qualify for 5: Utilize the accepted default value of 1.25% of the volume of water suppled as an expedient means to gain a reasonable quantification of this use. to qualify for 2: Establish a policy regarding what water uses should be allowed to remain as unbilled and unmetered. Consider tracking a small sample of one such use (ex. fire hydrant flushings).	to quality for 5: Utilize accepted default value of 1.2 water suppled as an expedient reasonable quantification i to quality for 4: Evaluate the documentation of eve observed. Meet with user groups (e fire departments, contractors to as and/or volume requirements for wate	means to gain a of this use. ents that have been ex: for fire hydrants - scertain their need	to qualify for 5: Utilize accepted default value of 1.25% of the volume of water supplied as an expedient means to gain a reasonable quantification of all such use. This is particularly appropriate for water utilities who are in the early stages of the water auditing process, and should focus on other components since the volume of unbilled, umetered consumption is usually a relatively small quatity component, and other larger-quantity components should take priority.	to qualify for 6 or greater: Finalze policy and begin to conduct field checks to better establish and quantify such usage. Proceed if top-down audit exists and/or a great volume of such use is suspected.	to qualify for 8: Assess water utility policy and proce unmetered usages. For example, er exists and permits are issued for use persons outside of the utility. Create w use and documentation of fire hydra personnel. Use same approach for ot unmetered water usa	nsure that a policy of fire hydrants by ritten procedures for nts by water utility her types of unbilled,	to qualify for 10 Refine written procedures to ensure t unmetered water are overseen by a process managed by water utility pers to determine if some of these uses converted to billed and/or m	that all uses of unbilled, structured permitting onnel. Reassess policy have value in being	to maintain 10: Continue to refine policy and procedures with intention of reducing the number of allowable uses of water in unbilled and unmetered fashion. Any uses that can feasibly become billed and metered should be converted eventually.
						LOSSES					
Unauthorized consumption:		Extent of unauthorized consumption is unknown due to unclear policies and poor recordkeeping. Total unauthorized consumption is guesstimated.	Unauthorized consumption is a known occurrence, but its extent is a mystery. There are no requirements to document besrved events, but periodic field reports capture some of these occurrences. Total unauthorized consumption is approximated from this limited data.		Procedures exist to document some unauthorized consumption such as observed unauthorized fire hydrant openings. Use formulae to quantify this consumption (time running multiplied typical flowrate, multiplied by number of events).	Default value of 0.25% of volume of water supplied is employed	Coherent policies exist for some forms of unauthorized consumption (more than simply fire hydrant misuse) but others await closer evaluation. Reasonable surveillance and recordkeeping exist for occurrences that fall under the policy. Volumes quantified by inference from these records.	Conditions between 6 and 8	Clear policies and good auditable recordkeeping exist for certain events (ex: tampering with water meters, illegal bypasses of customer meters); but other occurrences have limited oversight. Total consumption is a combination of volumes from formulae (time x typical flow) and subjective estimates of unconfirmed consumption.	Conditions between 8 and 10	Clear policies exist to identify all known unauthorized uses of water. Staff and procedures exist to provide enforcement of policies and detect violations. Each occurrence is recorded and quantified via formulae (estimated time running multiplied by typical flow) or similar methods. All records and calculations should exist in a form that can be audited by a third party.
Improvements to attain higher data grading for "Unauthorized Consumption" component:		to qualify for 5: Use accepted default of 0.25% of volume of water supplied. to qualify for 2: Review utily poly regarding what water uses are considered unauthorized, and consider tracking a small sample of one such occurrence (ex: unauthorized fre hydrant openings)	to guality for 5: Use accepted default of 0.25% of s to <u>uquality for 4</u> ; Review utility policy regarding wh considered unauthorized, and cons sample of one such occurrence (er hydrant openings	at water uses are ider tracking a small x: unauthorized fire	to qualify for 5: Utilize accepted default value of 0.25% of volume of water supplied as an expedient means to gain a reasonable quantification of all such use. This is particularly appropriate for water utilities who are in the early stages of the water auditing process.	to qualify for 6 or greater. Finalize policy updates to clearly identify the types of water consumption that are authorized from those usages that fall outside of this policy and are, therefore, unauthorized. Begin to conduct regular field checks. Proceed if the top- down audit already volume of such use is suspected.	to quality for 8: Assess water utility policies to ensu occurrences of unauthorized consum and that appropriate penaities are p written procedures for detection and various occurrences of unauthorized o are uncovered.	ption are outlawed, rescribed. Create documentation of	to qualify for 10: Refine written procedures and assign staff to seek out likely occurrences of unauthorized consumption. Explore new locking devices, monitors and other technologies designed to detect and thwart unauthorized consumption.		to maintain 10: Continue to refine policy and procedures to eliminate any loopholes that allow or tacity encourage unauthorized consumption. Continue to be vigilant in detection, documentation and enforcement efforts.
Customer metering inaccuracies:	select n/a only if the entire customer population is unmetered. In such a case the volume entered must be zero.	Customer meters exist, but with unorganized paper records on meters; no meter accuracy testing or meter replacement program for any size of retail meter. Metering workflow is driven chaotically with no proactive management. Loss volume due to aggregate meter inaccuracy is guesstimated.	Poor recordkeeping and meter oversight is recognized by water utility management who has allotted staff and funding resources to organize improved recordkeeping and start meter accuracy testing. Existing paper records gathered and organized to provide cursory disposition of meter population. Customer meters are tested for accuracy only upon customer request.	Conditions between 2 and 4	Reliable recordkeeping exists; meter information is improving as meters are replaced. Meter accuracy testing is conducted annually for a small number of meters (more than just customer requests, but less than 1% of inventory). A limited number of the oldest meters are replaced each year. Inaccuracy volume is largely an estimate, but refined based upon limited testing data.	Conditions between 4 and 6	A reliable electronic recordkeeping system for meters exists. The meter population includes a mix of new high performing meters and dated meters with suspect accuracy. Routine, but limited, meter accuracy testing and meter replacement occur. Inaccuracy volume is quantified using a mix of reliable and less certain data.	Conditions between 6 and 8	Ongoing meter replacement and accuracy testing result in highly accurate customer meter population. Testing is conducted on samples of meters of varying age and accumulated volume of throughput to determine optimum replacement time for various types of meters.	Ongoing meter replacement and accuracy testing result in highly accurate customer meter population. Statistically significant number of meters are tested in audit year. This testing is conducted on samples of meters of accumulated volume of throughput to determine optimum replacement time for these meters.	Good records of all active customer meters exist and include as a minimum: meter number, account number/location, type, size and manufacturer. Orgoring meter replacement occurs according to a targeted and justified basis. Regular meter accuracy testing gives a reliable measure of composte in accuracy volume for the customer meter population. New metering technology is embraced to keep overall accuracy improving. Procedures are reviewed by a third party knowledgeable in the M36 methodology.

Grading >>>	n/a	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Improvements to attain higher data grading for "Customer meter inaccuracy volume" component:	If n/a is selected because the customer meter population is unmetered, consider estabilishing a new policy to meter the customer population and employ water rates based upon metered volumes.	to qualify for 2: Gather available meter purchase records. Conduct testing on a small number of meters believed to be the most inaccurate. Review staffing needs of the metering group and budget for necessary resources to better organize meter management.	meter histories, preferably using el typically linked to, or part of, the Cust or Customer Information System	to quality for 4: mplement a reliable record keeping system for customer meter histories, preferably using electronic methods pically linked to, or part of, the Customer Billing System or Customer Information System. Expand meter accuracy testing to a larger group of meters.		to qualify for 6: Standardize the procedures for meter recordkeeping within an electronic information system. Accelerate meter accuracy testing and meter replacements guided by testing results.		<u>to qualify for 8</u> : Expand annual meter accuracy testing to evaluate a statistically significant number of meter makes/models. Expand meter replacement program to replace statistically significant number of poor performing meters each year.		to qualify for 10: Continue efforts to manage meter population with reliable recordkeeping, meter testing and replacement. Evaluate new meter types and install one or more types in 5-10 customer accounts each year in order to pilot improving metering technology.	to maintain 10: Increase the number of meters tested and replaced as justified by meter accuracy test data. Continually monitor development of new metering Infrastructure (AMI) to grasp opportunities for greater accuracy in metering of water flow and management of customer consumption data.
Systematic Data Handling Errors:	Note: all water utilities error. Even in water utilities with unmetered customer populations and fixed rate billing, errors occur in annual billing tabulations. Enter a positive value for the volume and select a grading.	Policies and procedures for activation of new customer water billing accounts are vague and lack accountability. Billing data is maintained on paper records which are not well organized. No auditing is conducted to confirm billing data handling efficiency. An unknown number of customers accape routine billing due to lack of billing process oversight.	Policy and procedures for activation of new customer accounts and oversight of billing records exist but need refinement. Billing data is maintained on paper records or insufficiently capable electronic database. Only periodic unstructured auditing work is conducted to confirm billing data handling efficiency. The volume of unbilled water due to billing lapses is a guess.	Conditions between 2 and 4	Policy and procedures for new account activation and oversight of billing operations exist but needs refinement. Computerized billing system exists, but is dated or lacks needed functionality. Periodic. limited internal audits accuracy the consumption volumes lost to billing lapses.	4 and 6	Policy and procedures for new account activation and oversight of billing operations is adequate and reviewed periodically. Computerized billing system is in use with basic reporting available. Any effect of billing adjustments on measured consumption volumes is well understood. Internal checks of billing data error conducted annually. Reasonably accurate quantification of consumption volume lost to billing lapses is obtained.	Conditions between 6 and 8	New account activation and billing operations policy and procedures are reviewed at least biannualy. Computerized billing system includes an array of reports to confirm billing data and system functionality. Checks are conducted routinely to flag and explain zero consumption accounts. Annual internal checks conducted with third party audit conducted at least once every five years. Accountability checks flag billing lapses is well quantified and reducing year-by-year.	Conditions between 8 and 10	Sound written policy and procedures exist for new account activation and oversight of customer billing operations. Robust computerized billing system gives high functionality and reporting capabilities which are utilized, analyzed and the results utilized, analyzed and the results handling errors are conducted internally and audited by third party at least once every three years, ensuring consumption lost to billing lapses is minimized and detected as it occurs.
Improvements to attain higher data grading for "Systematic Data Handling Error volume" component:		to qualify for 2: Draft written policy and procedures for activating new water billing accounts and oversight of billing operations. Investigate and budget for computerized customer billing system. Conduct thial audit of billing records by flow-charting the basic business processes of the customer account/billing function.	to qualify for 4: Finalize written policy and procedu new billing acccunts and overall management. Implement a compute system. Conduct initial audit of billin this process.	billing operations rized customer billing	to qualify for 6 Refine new account activation ar procedures and ensure consistenc regarding billing, and minimize op billings. Upgrade or replace custo needed functionality - ensure that bi corrupt the value of consumption v internal annual audit p	nd billing operations y with the utility policy oportunity for missed mer billing system for lling adjustments don't olumes. Procedurize	to quality for 8: Formalize regular review of new accou and general billing practices. Enhance of computerized billing system. Form process to reveal scope of data hand periodic third party audit to occur at le years.	e reporting capability alize regular auditing lling error. Plan for	to qualify for 10 Close policy/procedure loopholes tha accounts to go unbilled, or data ha Ensure that billing system reports are reported every billing cycle. Ensure party audits are conducted at least or	t allow some customer ndling errors to exist. utilized, analyzed and that internal and third	to maintain 10: Stay abreast of customer information management developments and innovations. Monitor developments of Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) and intergrate technology to ensure that customer endpoint information is well- monitored and errors/lapses are at an economic minimum.
					SYSTEM	DATA			-		
Length of mains:		Poorly assembled and maintained paper as-built records of existing water main installations makes accurate determination of system pipe length impossible. Length of mains is guesstimated.	Paper records in poor or uncertain condition (no annual tracking of installations & abandonments). Poor procedures to ensure that new water mains instaled by developers are accurately documented.	Conditions between 2 and 4	Sound written policy and procedures exist for documenting new water main installations, but gaps in management result in a uncertain degree of error in tabulation of mains length.	Conditions between 4 and 6	Sound written policy and procedures exist for permitting and commissioning new water mains. Highly accurate paper records with regular field validation; or electronic records and asset management system in good condition. Includes system backup.	Conditions between 6 and 8	Sound written policy and procedures exist for permitting and commissioning new water mains. Electronic recordikeeping such as a Geographical Information System (GIS) and asset management system are used to store and manage data.	Conditions between 8 and 10	Sound written policy exists for managing water mains extensions and replacements. Geographic Information System (GIS) data and asset management database agree and random field validation proves truth of databases. Records of annual field validation should be available for review.
Improvements to attain higher data grading for "Length of Water Mains" component:		to qualify for 2: Assign personnel to inventory current as-built records and compare with customer billing system records and highway plans in order to verify poorfy documented pipelines. Assemble policy documents regarding permitting and documentation of water main installations by the utility and building developers; identify gaps in procedures that result in poor documentation of new water main installations.	<u>to quality for 4</u> : Complete inventory of paper reco- installations for several years prior to policy and procedures for com documenting new water mail	audit year. Review missioning and	to qualify for 6 Finalize updates procedures for permiting/comm installations. Confirm inventory of prior to audit year; correct any e	o written policy and issioning new main records for five years	to qualify for 8: Launch random field checks of limited Convert to electronic database such Information System (GIS) with backup written policy and proce	as a Geographic as justified. Develop	to qualify for 10 Link Geographic Information Syst management databases, conduct fie Record field verification informatio	em (GIS) and asset Id verification of data.	to maintain 10: Continue with standardization and random field validation to improve the completeness and accuracy of the system.

Grading >>>	n/a	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Number of active AND inactive service connections:		Vague permitting (of new service connections) policy and poor paper recordkeeping of customer connections/billings result in suspect determination of the number of service connections, which may be 10-15% in error from actual count.	General permitting policy exists but paper records, procedural gaps, and	Conditions between 2 and 4	Written account activation policy and procedures exist, but with some gaps in performance and oversight. Computerized information management system is being brought online to replace dated paper recordkeeping system. Reasonably accurate tracking of service connection installations & abandonments; but count can be up to 5% in error from actual total.	Conditions between 4 and 6	Written new account activation and overal billing policies and procedures are adequate and reviewed periodically. Computerized information management system is in use with annual installations & abandonments totaled. Very limited field verifications and audits. Error in count of number of service connections is believed to be no more than 3%.	6 and 8	Policies and procedures for new account activation and overall billing operations are written, well-structured and reviewed at least biannually. Well management at least biannually. Well management system exists and routine, periodic field checks and internal system audits are conducted. Counts of connections are no more than 2% in error.		Sound written policy and well managed and audited procedures ensure reliable management d service connection population. Computerized information management system, Customer Billing System, and Geographic Information System (GIS) information agree; field validation proves truth of databases. Count of connections recorded as being in error is less than 1% of the entire population.
Improvements to attain higher data grading for "Number of Active and Inactive Service Connections" component:	Note: The number of Service Connections does <u>not</u> include fire hydrant leads/lines connecting the hydrant to the water main	to qualify for 2: Draft new policy and procedures for new account activation and overall billing operations. Research and oolect paper records of installations & abandonments for several years prior to audit year.	to qualify for 4: Refine policy and procedures for ne and overall billing operations. Rese recordkeeping system (Customer In Customer Billing System) to impro format for service conn-	arch computerized formation System or ve documentation	to qualify for 6 Refine procedures to ensure consist activation and overall biling policy to connections or decommission es Improve process to include all total prior to audit yes	ency with new account establish new service disting connections. of or at least five years	to qualify for 8: Formalize regular review of new acc overall billing operations policies and random field checks of fimited num Develop reports and auditing m computerized information manag	procedures. Launch nber of locations. echanisms for	to qualify for 10 Close any procedural loopholes that undocumented. Link computerized in system with Geographic Informatic formalize field inspection and inform processes. Documentation of new service connections encounters seve balances.	allow installations to go formation management on System (GIS) and lation system auditing or decommissioned	to maintain 10; Continue with standardization and random field validation to improve knowledge of system.
	Note: if customer water					piping, and the typical	lity owns and is responsible for the entire first point of use (ex: faucet) or the custo on Diagram" worksheet)				Either of two conditions can be met for
Average length of customer service line:	meters are located outside of the customer building next to the curb stop or boundary separating utilitycustomer responsibility, then the auditor should answer "Yes" to the question on the Reporting Worksheet asking about this. If the answer is Yes, the grading description listed under the Grading of 10(a) will be followed, with a value of zero automatically entered at a Grading of 10. See the Service Connection Diagram worksheet for a visual presentation of this distance.	Vague policy exists to define the delineation of water utility ownership and customer ownership of the service connection piping. Curb stops are perceived as the breakpoint but these have not been well-maintained or documented. Most are burled or obscured. Their location varies widely from site-to- site, and estimating this distance is arbitrary due to the unknown location of many curb stops.	Policy requires that the curb stop serves as the delineation point between water utility ownership and customer ownership of the service connection piping. The piping from the water main to the curb stop is the property of the water utility; and the piping from lithe curb stop is the customer building is owneed by the average distance is based upon a limited number of locations measured in the field.	Conditions between 2 and 4	Good policy requires that the curb stop serves as the delineation point between water utility ownership and customer ownership of the service connection piping. Curb stops are generally instaled as needed and are reasonably documented. Their location varies widely from site-to- site, and an estimate of this distance is hindered by the availability of paper records of limited accuracy.	Conditions between 4 and 6	Clear written policy exists to define utility/customer responsibility for service connection piping. Accurate, well-maintained paper or basic electronic recordkeeping system exists. Periodic field checks confirm piping lengths for a sample of customer properties.	Conditions betweer 6 and 8	Clearly worded policy standardizes the location of curb stops and meters, which are inspected upon installation. Accurate and well maintained electronic records exist with periodic field checks to confirm locations of service lines, curb stops and customer meter pits. An accurate number of customer properties from the customer billing system allows for reliable averaging of this length.	Conditions between 8 and 10	a grading of 10: a) Customer water meters exist outside of customer buildings next to the curb stop or boundary separating utility/customer responsibility for service connection pping. If so, answer 'Yes' to the question on the Reporting Working asking about this condition. A value of zero and a Grading of 10 are automatically entered in the Reporting Worksheet. b). Meters exist inside customer buildings, or properties are unmetered. In either case, answer 'No' to the Reporting Vorksheet question on meter location, and enter a distance determined by the auditor. For a Grading of 10 this value must be a very reliable number from a Geographic Information System (GIS) and confirmed by a statistically valid number of field checks.
Improvements to attain higher data grading for "Average Length of Customer Service Line" component:		to qualify for 2: Research and collect paper records of service line installations. Inspect several sites in the field using pipe locators to locate curb stops. Obtain the length of this small sample of connections in this manner.	to qualify for 4: Formalize and communicate pr utility/customer responsibilities for piping. Assess accuracy of pape inspection of a small sample of servic pipe locators as needed. Resea migration to a computerized inform system to store service conr	service connection or records by field be connections using rch the potential ation management	to qualify for 6 Establish coherent procedures to en- stop, meter installation and docurr Gain consensus within the water utill of a computerized information ma	sure that policy for curb entation is followed. ty for the establishment	to qualify for 8: Implement an electronic means of rec via a customer information system, cu or Geographic Information System (G process to conduct field checks of a locations.	stomer billing system, IS). Standardize the	<u>to qualify for 10</u> Link customer information manag Geographic Information System (GIS for field verification o	ement system and), standardize process	to maintain 10: Continue with standardization and random field validation to improve knowledge of service connection configurations and customer meter locations.
Average operating pressure:		Available records are poorly assembled and maintained paper records of supply pump characteristics and water distribution system operating conditions. Average pressure is guesstimated based upon this information and ground elevations from crude topographical maps. Widely varying distribution system pressures due to undulating terrain, high system head loss and weak/erratic pressure controls turther compromise the validity of the average pressure calculation.	Limited telemetry monitoring of scattered pumping station and water storage tank sites provides some static pressure data, which is recorded in handwritten logbooks. Pressure data is gathered at individual sites only when low pressure complaints arise. Average pressure is determined by averaging relatively crude data, and is affected by significant variation in ground elevations, system head loss and gaps in pressure controls in the distribution system.	Conditions between 2 and 4	Effective pressure controls separate different pressure zones; moderate pressure variation across the system, occasional open boundary valves are discovered that breech pressure zones. Basic telemetry monitoring of the distribution system logs pressure data electronically. Pressure data gathered by gauges or dataloggers at fire hydrants or bublidings when low pressure complaints arise, and during fire flow tests and system flushing. Reliable prographical data exists. Average pressure is calculated using this mix of data.	Conditions between 4 and 6	Reliable pressure controls separate distinct pressure zones; only very occasional open boundary valves are encountered that breech pressure zones. Well-covered telementry monitoring of the distribution system pressure data electronically. Pressure gathered by aguages/dataloggers at fire hydrants and buildings when low pressure complaints arise, and during fire flow tests and system flushing. Average pressure is determined by using this mix of reliable data.	Conditions betweer 6 and 8	Well-managed, discrete pressure zones exist with generally predictable pressure fluctuations. A current full- scale SCADA System or similar realtime monitoring system exists to monitor the water distribution system and collect data, including real time pressure readings at representative sites across the system. The average system pressure is determined from reliable monitoring system data.	Conditions between 8 and 10	Well-managed pressure districts/zones, SCADA System and hydraulic model exist to give very precise pressure data across the water distribution system. Average system pressure is reliably calculated from extensive, reliable, and cross-checked data. Calculations are reported on an annual basis as a minimum.

Grading >>>	n/a	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Improvements to attain high data grading for "Average Operating Pressure" component:	r	topographical maps of service area in order to confirm ground elevations. Research pump data	valves, partially open boundary va	ather pressure data a so low pressure ther pump pressure nes. Identify faulty ing valves, altitude alves) and plan to Make all pressure enerate system-wide	representative set of sites, based up areas. Utilize pump pressure and f supply head entering each press Correct any faulty pressure contro valves, altitude valves, partially ope ensure properly configured pressure	uging/datalogging pressure data at a pon pressure zones or low data to determine ure zone or district. Is (pressure reducing n boundary valves) to zones. Use expanded as to generate system-	to qualify for 8: Install a Supervisory Control and Data System, or similar realtime monitorin; system parameters and control ope calibration schedule for instrument accuracy. Obtain accurate topograp pressure data gathered from field a extensive, reliable data for press	system, to monitor ations. Set regular tion to insure data hical data and utilize surveys to provide	Annually, obtain a system-wide avera	ge pressure value from n system that has been the water distribution	to maintain 10: Continue to refine the hydraulic model of the distribution system and consider linking it with SCADA System for real- time pressure data calibration, and averaging.

Grading >>>	n/a	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
COST DATA											
Total annual cost of operating water system:		Incomplete paper records and lack of financial accounting documentation on many operating functions makes calculation of water system operating costs a pure guessimate	Reasonably maintained, but incomplete, paper or electronic accounting provides data to estimate the major pontion of water system operating costs.	Conditions between 2 and 4	Electronic, industry-standard cost accounting system in place. However, gaps in data are known to exist, periodic internal reviews are conducted but not a structured financial audit.	Conditions between 4 and 6	Reliable electronic, industry-standard cost accounting system in place, with all pertinent water system operating costs tracked. Data audited periodically by utility personnel, but not a Certified Public Accountant (CPA).	Conditions between 6 and 8	Reliable electronic, industry-standard cost accounting system in place, with all pertinent water system operating costs tracked. Data audited at least annually by utility personnel, and at least once every three years by third- party CPA.	Conditions between 8 and 10	Reliable electronic, industry-standard cost accounting system in place, with all pertinent water system operating costs tracked. Data audited annually by utility personnel and annually also by third-party CPA.
Improvements to attain higher data grading for 'Total Annual Cost of Operating the Water System' component:		to qualify for 2: Gather available records, institute new financial accounting procedures to regularly collect and audit basic cost data of most important operations functions.	<u>to qualify for 4:</u> Implement an electronic cost acc structured according to accounting utilities		to qualify for 6; Establish process for periodic interna operating costs; identify cost data procedures for tracking these o	a gaps and institute	to qualify for 8: Standardize the process to conduct r on an annual basis. Arrange for CP, records at least once every th	A audit of financial	to qualify for 10 Standardize the process to conduct audit by a CPA on an an	a third-party financial	to maintain 10: Maintain program, stay abreast of expenses subject to erratic cost changes and long-term cost trend, and budget/track costs proactively
Customer retail unit cost (applied to Apparent Losses):	Customer population unmetered, and/or only a fixed fee is charged for consumption.	Antiquated, cumbersome water rate structure is used, with periodic historic amendments that were poorly documented and implemented; resulting in classes of customers being billed inconsistent charges. The actual composite billing rate likely differs significantly firm the published water rate structure, but a lack of auditing leaves the degree of error indeterminate.	Dated, cumbersome water rate structure, not always employed consistently in actual billing operations. The actual composite billing rate is known to differ from the published water rate structure, and a reasonably accurate estimate of the degree of error is determined, allowing a composite billing rate to be quantified.	Conditions between 2 and 4	Straight-forward water rate structure in use, but not updated in several years. Billing operations reliably employ the rate structure. The composite billing rate is derived from a single customer class such as residential customer accounts, neglecting the effect of different rates from varying customer classes.	Conditions between 4 and 6	Clearly written, up-to-date water rate structure is in force and is applied reliably in billing operations. Composite customer rate is determined using a weighted average residential rate using volumes of water in each rate block.	Conditions between 6 and 8	Effective water rate structure is in force and is applied reliably in billing operations. Composite coursomer rate is determined using a weighted average composite consumption rate, which includes residential, commercial, industrial, institutional (CII), and any other distinct customer classes within the water rate structure.	Conditions between 8 and 10	Current, effective water rate structure is in force and applied reliably in billing operations. The rate structure and calculations of composite rate - which includes residential, commercial, industrial, institutional (CII), and other distinct customer classes - are reviewed by a third party knowledgeable in the M36 methodology at least once every five years.
Improvements to attain higher data grading for "Customer Retail Unit Cost" component:		to qualify for 2: Formalize the process to implement water rates, including a secure documentation procedure. Create a current, formal water rate document and gain approval from all stakeholders.	to quality for 4: Review the water rate structure and needed. Assess billing operations to billing operations incorporate the es structure.	o ensure that actual	to <u>quality for 6</u> : Evaluate volume of water used in each usage block by residential users. Multiply volumes by full rate structure.	Launch effort to fully meter the customer population and charge rates based upon water volumes	to qualify for 8: Evaluate volume of water used in eac classifications of users. Multiply vo structure.		<u>to qualify for 10</u> Conduct a periodic third-party audit usage block by all classifications of u by full rate structu	of water used in each sers. Multiply volumes	to maintain 10: Keep water rate structure current in addressing the water utility's revenue needs. Update the calculation of the customer unit rate as new rate components, customer classes, or other components are modified.
Variable production cost (applied to Real Losses):	Note: if the water utility purchases/imports its entire water supply, then enter the unit purchase cost of the bulk water supply in the Reporting Worksheet with a grading of 10	Incomplete paper records and lack of documentation on primary operating functions (electric power and treatment costs most importantily makes calculation of variable production costs a pure guesstimate	Reasonably maintained, but incomplete, paper or electronic accounting provides data to roughly estimate the basic operations costs (pumping power costs and treatment costs) and calculate a unit variable production cost.	Conditions between 2 and 4	Electronic, industry-standard cost accounting system in place. Electric power and treatment costs are reliably tracked and allow accurate weighted calculation of unit variable production costs based on these two inputs and water imported purchase costs (if applicable). All costs are audited internally on a periodic basis.	Conditions between 4 and 6	Reliable electronic, industry-standard cost accounting system in place, with all pertinent water system operating costs tracked. Pertinent additional costs beyond power, treatment and water imported purchase costs (if applicable) such as liability, residuals management, wear and tear on equipment, impending expansion of supply, are included in the unit variable production cost, as applicable. The data is audited at least annually by utility personnel.	Conditions between 6 and 8	Reliable electronic, industry-standard cost accounting system in place, with all pertinent primary and secondary variable production and water imported purchase (if applicable) costs tracked. The data is audited at least annually by utility personnel, and at least once every three years by a third-party knowledgeable in the M36 methodology.	Conditions between 8 and 10	Either of two conditions can be met to obtain a grading of 10: 1) Third party CPA audit of all pertinent primary and secondary variable production and water imported purchase (it applicable) costs on an annual basis. or: 2) Water supply is entirely purchased as bulk water imported, and the unit purchase cost - including <u>all</u> applicable marginal supply costs. resres as the variable production cost. If <u>all</u> applicable marginal supply costs are not included in this figure, a grade of 10 should <u>not</u> be selected.
Improvements to attain higher data grading for "Variable Production Cost" component:		to qualify for 2: Gather available records, institute new procedures to regularly collect and audit basic cost data and most important operations functions.	to qualify for 4: Implement an electronic cost acc structured according to accounting utilities		to qualify for 6: Formalize process for regular interm costs. Assess whether additional cc management, equipment wear, imp expansion) should be included to representative variable proc	al audits of production osts (liability, residuals pending infrastructure o calculate a more	to qualify for 8: Formalize the accounting process to components (power, treatment) as w components (liability, residuals manage to conduct audits by a knowledgable once every three yea	vell as indirect cost ement, etc.) Arrange third-party at least	to qualify for 10 Standardize the process to conduct audit by a CPA on an an	a third-party financial	to maintain 10: Maintain program, stay abreast of expenses subject to erratic cost changes and budget/rack costs proactively

	American Water Works Associat Copyright © 2014, All Rights Reserv							
	Water Audit Report for: Reporting Year: Data Validity Score:							
Water Loss Control Planning Guide								
		Water A	Water Audit Data Validity Level / Score					
Functional Focus Area	Level I (0-25)	Level II (26-50)	Level III (51-70)	Level IV (71-90)	Level V (91-100)			
Audit Data Collection	Launch auditing and loss control team; address production metering deficiencies	Analyze business process for customer metering and billing functions and water supply operations. Identify data gaps.	Establish/revise policies and procedures for data collection	Refine data collection practices and establish as routine business process	Annual water audit is a reliable gauge of year-to-year water efficiency standing			
Short-term loss control	Research information on leak detection programs. Begin flowcharting analysis of customer billing system	Conduct loss assessment investigations on a sample portion of the system: customer meter testing, leak survey, unauthorized consumption, etc.	Establish ongoing mechanisms for customer meter accuracy testing, active leakage control and infrastructure monitoring	Refine, enhance or expand ongoing programs based upon economic justification	Stay abreast of improvements metering, meter reading, billing leakage management and infrastructure rehabilitation			
ong-term loss control		Begin to assess long-term needs requiring large expenditure: customer meter replacement, water main replacement program, new customer billing system or Automatic Meter Reading (AMR) system.	Begin to assemble economic business case for long-term needs based upon improved data becoming available through the water audit process.	Conduct detailed planning, budgeting and launch of comprehensive improvements for metering, billing or infrastructure management	Continue incremental improvements in short-term ar long-term loss control interventions			
Target-setting			Establish long-term apparent and real loss reduction goals (+10 year horizon)	Establish mid-range (5 year horizon) apparent and real loss reduction goals	Evaluate and refine loss contro goals on a yearly basis			
Benchmarking			Preliminary Comparisons - can begin to rely upon the Infrastructure Leakage Index (ILI) for performance comparisons for real losses (see below table)	Performance Benchmarking - ILI is meaningful in comparing real loss standing	Identify Best Practices/ Best i class - the ILI is very reliable as real loss performance indicato for best in class service			

Once data have been entered into the Reporting Worksheet, the performance indicators are automatically calculated. How does a water utility operator know how well his or her system is performing? The AWWA Water Loss Control Committee provided the following table to assist water utilities is gauging an approximate Infrastructure Leakage Index (ILI) that is appropriate for their water system and local conditions. The lower the amount of leakage and real losses that exist in the system, then the lower the ILI value will be.

<u>Note:</u> this table offers an approximate guideline for leakage reduction target-setting. The best means of setting such targets include performing an economic assessment of various loss control methods. However, this table is useful if such an assessment is not possible.

General Guidelines for Setting a Target ILI (without doing a full economic analysis of leakage control options)							
Target ILI Range	Financial Considerations	Operational Considerations	Water Resources Considerations				
1.0 - 3.0	Water resources are costly to develop or purchase; ability to increase revenues via water rates is greatly limited because of regulation or low ratepayer affordability.	Operating with system leakage above this level would require expansion of existing infrastructure and/or additional water resources to meet the demand.	Available resources are greatly limited and are very difficult and/or environmentally unsound to develop.				
>3.0 -5.0	Water resources can be developed or purchased at reasonable expense; periodic water rate increases can be feasibly imposed and are tolerated by the customer population.	Existing water supply infrastructure capability is sufficient to meet long-term demand as long as reasonable leakage management controls are in place.	Water resources are believed to be sufficient to meet long-term needs, but demand management interventions (leakage management, water conservation) are included in the long-term				
>5.0 - 8.0	Cost to purchase or obtain/treat water is low, as are rates charged to customers.	Superior reliability, capacity and integrity of the water supply infrastructure make it relatively immune to supply shortages.	Water resources are plentiful, reliable, and easily extracted.				
Greater than 8.0	Although operational and financial considerations may allow a long-term ILI greater than 8.0, such a level of leakage is not an effective utilization of water as a resource. Setting a target level greater than 8.0 - other than as an incremental goal to a smaller long-term target - is discouraged.						
Less than 1.0	If the calculated Infrastructure Leakage Index (ILI) value for your system is 1.0 or less, two possibilities exist. a) you are maintaining your leakage at low levels in a class with the top worldwide performers in leakage control. b) A portion of your data may be flawed, causing your losses to be greatly understated. This is likely if you calculate a low ILI value but do not employ extensive leakage control practices in your operations. In such cases it is beneficial to validate the data by performing field measurements to confirm the accuracy of production and customer meters, or to identify any other potential sources of error in the data.						
Less than 1.0	understated. This is likely if you calculate a low ILI value but do not employ extensive leakage control practices in your operations. In such cases it is beneficial to validate the data by performing field measurements to confirm the accuracy of production and customer meters, or to identify any other						