## **Assessing Energy Management Systems for Best Practices**

Adapted from: ENERGY STAR Facility Energy Management Assessment Matrix

Assessment Da		T	T =	
	Little or no evidence	Some elements/degree	Fully implemented	Next steps
1. Establish Or	ganizational Commitn	nent		
Site Energy Leader	None assigned	Assigned responsibilities but not empowered. 20-40% of the time is devoted to energy	Recognized and empowered leader having site manager and senior energy manager support	
Site Energy Champion	None identified	Senior manager implicitly supports the energy program	Senior manager actively supports the energy program and promotes energy efficiency in all aspects of site operations	
Site Energy Team	No site energy team	Informal organization with sporadic activity	Active cross-functional team guiding site energy program	
Energy Policy	No energy policy or awareness of organizational policy	Organizational policy in place. Little awareness by site energy team and limited application of policy	Organizational policy supported at site level. All employees aware of goals and responsibilities	
Site Energy Plan	No written plan	Informal plan but not widely known	Written formal plan endorsed, distributed, and verified	
Accountability	No energy budgeting and accountability	Estimates used for allocating energy budgets	Key users are metered separately. Each entity has total accountability for their energy use	
Participation levels	No reporting of energy performance data internally or involvement in external organizations	Some participation, sharing, mentoring, and professional memberships. Annual reporting of performance	Participates in energy network/organizations. Shares best practices/mentors other sites. Reports usage quarterly	
2. Develop a Bas	eline of Energy Use			
Track & Analyze Data	Limited metering or tracking. No demand analysis or billing evaluation	Some metering, tracking, analyzing, and reporting. Energy bills verified for accuracy	Key loads metered, tracked, analyzed, and reported. Facility peak demand analyzed. Adjusts for real-time demand	
Documentation	No manuals, plans, designed, drawings, specs, etc. for building and equipment available	Some documentation and records available. Some review of equipment commissioning specs conducted	Critical building and equipment documentation available and used for load surveys/recommissioning/ efficiency goals	
	ystem and Collect Data			T
Benchmarking	Energy performance of systems and facilities not benchmarked	Limited comparisons of specific functions, or only same-site historical comparisons	Key systems/sites benchmarked using comparison tools like Portfolio Manager/Energy Performance Indicators	
Technical Analysis	No formal or external reviews	Limited review by vendors, location, or organizational and corporate energy managers	Extensive regular reviews by multi-functional team or internal and external professionals. Full assessment every five years	

Assessing Energy Management Systems for Best Practices Source: http://www.energystar.gov/index.cfm?=c=guidelines.guidelines\_index

	Little or no evidence	Some elements/degree	Fully implemented	Next steps
<b>Best Practices</b>	None identified	Ad hoc or infrequent	Regular monitoring of trade	
		monitoring of trade	journals, internal databases, and	
		journals, internal	other facilities. Best practices	
		databases, and other	shared and implemented	
4.77		facilities' best practices		
	y Efficiency Opportunit		D. ( ( . 1 1 C 1 1	
Goals / Potential	Energy reduction goals not established	Loosely defined. Little awareness of energy goals	Potential defined by experience or assessments. Goals roll up to	
rotentiai	goals not established	by others outside site	unite/site/corporate/organization	
		energy team	and status posted prominently	
Energy Team	No ties between	Spot awards or luncheons	Accountability tied to	
Incentives	energy efficiency	for employees on a project	performance reviews,	
meentives	improvement and	lor employees on a project	compensation, and personal and	
	compensation		plant bonuses	
5. Prioritize Opp		<u> </u>		
Projects	No energy projects	Some projects identified,	Energy projects have been	
Identified	identified	but not studied or	identified, studied, and prioritized	
		prioritized	_	
Evaluation	No criteria determined	Projects are pursued to	Appropriate evaluation for non-	
Criteria	for proceeding with	meet one objective	monetary characteristics of energy	
	projects		efficiency opportunities identified	
	plementation Plan			
Improvement	No upgrade plan	Upgrades implemented	Upgrade plans established; reflect	
Planning		sporadically. Some	assessments. Full compliance with	
		compliance with	organizational EE design	
		organizational goals and	guidelines and goals	
Role and	Not addressed or	standards Informal interested person	Internal/external roles defined and	
Resources	addressed on ad hoc	competes for funding.	funding identified. Organizational	
Resources	basis	Little support from	or corporate program support	
	Ods13	organizational program	secured	
Site Planning	Impact on energy	Decisions impacting	Projects/contracts include energy	
Integration	from changes not	energy considered on	analysis. Energy projects	
8	considered	first-cost basis only	evaluated with other investments.	
			Lifecycle costing applied	
Communication	Site plan not	Periodic communications	All stakeholders are addressed on	
Plan	developed	for projects. Some	a regular basis	
		reporting of energy use		
		information		
Energy	None conducted	Occasional energy	Planned outreach and	
Awareness		efficiency awareness	communications. Support	
		campaigns. Some	organizational initiatives.	
		communication of energy	Employees aware of site energy	
D:14: C4 - 66	No training aftern 1	Costs	Costs.	
Building Staff	No training offered	Some vendor training for	Broad training and certification in	
Capacity		key individuals and	technology and best practices.  Networking opportunities actively	
		operators	pursued	
Contract	Contracts are renewed	Occasional review of	Energy-efficient procurement	
Management	automatically without	supplier contract	policy in place. Vendors for	
Wanagement	review	supplier continuer	replacements on standby. Regular	
	10,10,1		review of suppliers	
Incentives and	Not researched or	Occasional	Researches rebate and incentives	
Rebates	pursued	communication with	offered regionally and nationally.	
	•	utility representatives.	Communicates with utility	
		Limited knowledge of	representatives often	
		incentive program	_	

Assessing Energy Management Systems for Best Practices Source: http://www.energystar.gov/index.cfm?=c=guidelines.guidelines\_index

	Little or no evidence	Some elements/degree	Fully implemented	Next steps			
7. Provide for Progress Tracking and Reporting							
Measuring Results	No reviews	Historical comparisons. Some reporting of results	Compare usage & costs vs. goals, plans, and other sites. Results reported to site and organizational or corporate management				
Reviewing Action Plan	No reviews	Informal check on progress	Revise plan based on results, feedback, and business factors. Best practices shared with other sites/organization or corporate program				
Site Recognition	Not addressed	Occasional recognition of projects and people	Recognition system in place. Awards for projects pursued by operators				
Organizational Recognition	Not sought	Occasionally when prompted by senior management	Senior management acknowledges site successes				
External Recognition	Not sought	Occasional trade magazine and vendor recognition	Government and third-party recognition highlighting achievements sought. ENERGY STAR label for facility awarded annually				