



Prioritizing Energy Management Projects



nart Management for

Small Water Systems





This program is made possible under a cooperative agreement with EPA.





NYSERDA Step 5: Prioritize Opportunities for Implementation

- The final product of this step is a short list of energy efficiency opportunities that have been selected and carefully evaluated out of the list of opportunities generated in the previous step
- Identify a consistent method to compare and rank opportunities (consider both the monetary and non-monetary)





How should I prioritize?

- There are many processes you could use to prioritize energy management projects for your water or wastewater utility.
- One resource for process review is the Energy Project Decision Matrix, developed as part of A.M. Kan Work! – an interactive guide to asset management and energy efficiency from the New Mexico Environmental Finance Center and Kansas Department of Environmental Health.





Energy Project Decision Matrix

		Er	nergy P	roject Decisio	on Matrix				
Proposed Energy Efficiency Project	Energy Cost Savings (1 to 5)	Cost of Implementation (1 to 5)	Payback Period (1 to 5)	Necessary to Meet Regulatory Requirements (1 to 5)		Availability of Advantageous Funding (1 to 5)	Operational Feasibility (1 to 5)	Part of a Larger Project (1 to 5)	Tota Score
									-
			-						-
				/					-
			÷ ;	-					
Energy Cost Savings: C	urrent energy co	ost less future energy	cost; high	energy savings = 5	points, low energy	y savings = 1 point			
Cost of Implementatio	in: Total cost of t	the project; low cost	= 5 points,	high cost = 1 point					
Payback Period: Numb	per of years requ	ired to pay for the pr	oject with	energy cost savings	; low number of y	ears = 5 points, h	igh number of y	ears = 1 poin	t
Necessary to Meet Rep = 1 point	gulatory Require	ements: If needed to	meet curre	nt regulatory requir	rement = 5 points	, anticipated requ	irement = 3 poi	nts, no requi	iremen
Necessary to Meet Lev LOS = 1 point	vel of Service Go	als: If needed to mee	t a level of	service goal, such a	is energy reductio	on or greenhouse	gas emissions g	oals = 5 point	ts, no
Availabilty of Advanta	·		unded with	existing internal so	ources or there is	a good external s	ource, such as a	tax rebate o	r grant
5 points, No advantag Operational Feasibility		(1) * (32), 10	n the capal	bilities of the existin	ig staff = 5 points,	if considerable op	perational chang	te is required	= 1
point Part of a Larger Projec	t: If the energy of	efficiency project is o	art of a lare	er project = 5 point	s stand alone nr	piect = 1 point			
Part of a Larger Projec Total Score: The energ									

Instructions: Energy Project Decision Matrix

Guidelines for assigning points from 1 to 5 using the Energy Project Decision Matrix from A.M. Kan Work!

Category	Suggested Guidelines
Energy Cost Savings	Current energy cost less future energy cost; high energy savings = 5 points; low energy savings = 1 point
Cost of Implementation	Total cost of the energy management project; low cost = 5 points; high cost = 1 point
Payback Period	Number of years required to pay for the project with energy cost savings; low number of years = 5 points; high number of years = 1 point
Necessary to Meet Regulatory Requirements	If needed to meet current regulatory requirements = 5 points; anticipated requirement = 3 points; no requirement = 1 point
Necessary to Meet Level of Service Goals	If needed to meet a level of service (LOS) goal, such as energy reduction or greenhouse gas emissions goals = 5 points; no LOS goal = 1 point
Availability of Advantageous Funding	If the project can be funded with existing internal sources or there is a good external source, such as a tax rebate or grant = 5 points; no advantageous funding = 1 point
Operational Feasibility	If the project can be operated within the capabilities of the existing staff = 5 points; if considerable operational change is required = 1 point
Part of a Larger Project	If the energy management project is part of a larger project = 5 points; stand-alone project = 1 point
Total Score	The energy management projects with the highest scores may be the most advantageous to the utility







Scoring in the Decision Matrix

- Score each category from 1 to 5. Bigger numbers are better! ^(C)
- In other words, higher scores are more attractive projects for energy savings, ease of implementation, and so on.







Energy Cost and Implementation Cost

- <u>Energy Cost Savings</u>: Current energy cost less future energy cost.
 - High energy savings = 5 points
 - Low energy savings = 1 point
 - Could also boost score to reflect use of renewable energy, if that has value to your utility.
- <u>Cost of Implementation</u>: Total project cost.
 - Low cost = 5 points
 - High cost = 1 point





Payback Period and Regulation

- <u>Payback Period</u>: Number of years required to pay for the project with energy cost savings.
 - Low number of years = 5 points
 - High number of years = 1 point
- Necessary to Meet Regulatory Requirements:
 - 5 points if needed to meet a current regulatory requirement
 - 3 points for an anticipated requirement
 - 1 point for no regulatory requirement





Service Goals and Funding Options

- Necessary to Meet Level of Service Goals:
 - 5 points if needed to meet a level of service goal, e.g. energy reduction or GHG emissions goals
 - 1 point if no Level of Service Goal
- Availability of Advantageous Funding:
 - 5 points if the project can be funded with existing internal sources or there is a good external source, such as a tax rebate or grant.
 - 1 point if no advantageous funding.





Feasibility and Larger Projects

- Operational Feasibility:
 - 5 points if the project can be operated within the capabilities of the existing staff (e.g. easy training)
 - 1 point if considerable operational change required
- Part of a Larger Project:
 - 5 points if the energy efficiency project is part of a larger project
 - 1 point if it is a stand-alone energy project





Observations about the Matrix

- <u>Total Score</u>: allows you to compare / rank potential energy management projects.
- <u>Higher Scores</u>: indicate E.M. projects that may be most advantageous to the utility.
- <u>Caution</u>: As all columns are weighted equally in this matrix, you may want to consider some columns as more important than others.
- <u>And don't forget</u>: Watch out for Agent Smith!





Tips for Using the Decision Matrix

- Involve your energy team and discuss evaluation criteria (You can use the matrix provided as a starting point.)
- Set weights based on the level of importance to your system.
- What's missing? In addition to the matrix, other commonly used criteria may include:



Tips for Using the Decision Matrix

- Ease of implementation
- Time until solution is fully implemented
- Cost to maintain
- Support or opposition to the solution
- Enthusiasm by team members
- Potential effects on customers
- Potential problems during implementation





Tips for Using the Decision Matrix

 If individuals on the team assign different ratings to the same criterion, discuss this so people can learn from each other's views and arrive at a consensus. Do not average the ratings or vote for the most popular one.





Some Keys to Success

- Convert all energy efficiency opportunities characteristics to monetary terms whenever possible.
- Evaluate all energy management, including ancillary benefits when possible.
- Test the sensitivity of results to determine the impact of important assumptions (e.g. time horizons)
- Make sure that the final results make sense in terms of the utility's capabilities.







Group Exercise







EXERCISE: ENERGY PROJECT PRIORITIZATION

High	Low Priority	C	apital Projects	0
Effort and/or Cost Required	Quick Hits		Gems	
Low	Energy	v Savings) 0 (High

Energy Project Decision Matrix

		Er	nergy Pi	roject Decisio	on Matrix				
Proposed Energy Efficiency Project	Energy Cost Savings (1 to 5)	Cost of Implementation (1 to 5)	Payback Period (1 to 5)	Regulatory		Availability of Advantageous Funding (1 to 5)	Operational	Part of a Larger Project (1 to 5)	Tota Scor

Your small water system could reduce electrical energy use by implementing numerous strategies, including:								
Process Targeted / Goal	Improvement and Estimated Savings	Implementation Cost (\$)	0, 0	Estimated Annual	Simple Pay-Back (Years)			
		No cost. Turn						
Lighting (A)	Reduce number of lighting hours by 40%	lights off.	7,488	\$4,118	0			
	Replace T12 fluorescent light bulbs and							
Lighting (B)	fixtures with T8 equivalents	\$12,470	22,976	\$10,800	1.15			
	Replace high service pumps with premium efficiency ones at two	ĆE2 400	24.640	¢10.052	2.75			
High Service Pumps		\$52,400	34,640	\$19,052	2.75			
	Replace air conditioning with high							
HVAC and Window	efficiency system and install window							
Films	films to reduce solar heat gain	\$218,382	138,104	\$64,909	3.36			





Think – Pair – Share









Questions?



